

Conshohocken, PA

Dashboard Summary of Findings

2017



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300 Boulder, Colorado 80301 n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002 icma.org • 800-745-8780

Summary

The National Citizen SurveyTM (The NCSTM) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report summarizes Conshohocken's performance in the eight facets of community livability with the "General" rating as a summary of results from the overarching questions not shown within any of the eight facets. The "Overall" represents the community pillar in its entirety (the eight facets and general).

By summarizing resident ratings across the eight facets and three pillars of a livable community, a picture of Conshohocken's community livability emerges. Below, the color of each community facet summarizes how residents rated each of the pillars that support it — Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation. When most ratings were higher than the benchmark, the color is the darkest shade; when most ratings were lower than the benchmark, the color is the lightest shade. A mix of ratings (higher and lower than the benchmark) results in a color between the extremes.

Broadly, ratings about the dimensions of community livability in Conshohocken were similar to the national benchmark. Ratings for Mobility within the pillar of Participation were stronger than in other communities. This information can be helpful in identifying the areas that merit more attention.

Figure 1: Dashboard Summary

	Community Characteristics			Governance			Participation		
	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower
Overall	7	40	5	5	39	2	3	29	4
General	0	7	0	0	3	0	0	3	0
Safety	0	3	0	1	6	0	0	3	0
Mobility	4	2	2	1	7	0	2	1	0
Natural Environment	0	3	0	2	2	2	1	2	0
Built Environment	0	5	0	1	6	0	0	2	0
Economy	3	4	1	0	1	0	0	2	1
Recreation and Wellness	0	7	0	0	4	0	0	5	0
Education and Enrichment	0	4	2	0	2	0	0	2	1
Community Engagement	0	5	0	0	8	0	0	9	2

Legend	
	Higher
	Similar
	Lower

The National Citizen Survey™

Figure 2: Detailed Dashboard

	Community Characteristics	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Benchmark	Percent positive
	Overall appearance	↔	77%	Customer service	↔		Recommend Conshohocken	\leftrightarrow	94%
	Overall quality of life	\leftrightarrow	92%	Services provided by Conshohocken	\leftrightarrow	80%	Remain in Conshohocken	\leftrightarrow	84%
General	Place to retire	\leftrightarrow	55%	Services provided by the Federal Government	\leftrightarrow	38%	Contacted Conshohocken employees	\leftrightarrow	42%
g	Place to raise children	\leftrightarrow	79%						
	Place to live	\leftrightarrow	95%						
	Neighborhood	\leftrightarrow	89%			Participation Benchma Participation Benchma Participation Partici			
	Overall image	\leftrightarrow	84%					↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↑ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔	
	Overall feeling of safety	\leftrightarrow	94%	Police	\leftrightarrow	89%	Was NOT the victim of a crime	\leftrightarrow	92%
	Safe in neighborhood	\leftrightarrow	96%	Crime prevention	1	84%	Did NOT report a crime	\leftrightarrow	84%
>	Safe downtown/commercial area	\leftrightarrow	96%	Fire	\leftrightarrow	95%	Stocked supplies for an emergency	↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↑ ↔ ↔ ← ↔ ← ←	27%
Safety				Fire prevention	\leftrightarrow	77%	9		
SS				Ambulance/EMS	\leftrightarrow	92%			
				Emergency preparedness	\leftrightarrow	62%			
				Animal control	\leftrightarrow	61%		↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↑ ↑ ↑ ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ← ←	
	Traffic flow	1	30%	Traffic enforcement	\leftrightarrow	53%	Carpooled instead of driving alone	\leftrightarrow	41%
	Travel by car	\leftrightarrow	52%	Street repair	\leftrightarrow			↑↑	80%
ξ	Travel by bicycle	1	69%	Street cleaning	↔ 61% nt ↔ 53% Carpooled instead of driving alone ↔ 46% Walked or biked instead of driving ↔ 59% Used public transportation instead of driving ↔ 69% ↔ 61%		64%		
Mobility	Ease of walking	1	86%	Street lighting	\leftrightarrow	69%	_		
β	Travel by public transportation	1	67%	Snow removal	\leftrightarrow	61%			
	Overall ease of travel	\leftrightarrow	80%	Sidewalk maintenance	\leftrightarrow	58%			
	Public parking	1	32%	Traffic signal timing	\leftrightarrow	44%			
	Paths and walking trails	1	85%	Bus or transit services	1	70%		↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔	
	Overall natural environment	\leftrightarrow	70%	Garbage collection	\leftrightarrow	92%	Recycled at home	1	97%
Natural Environment	Air quality	\leftrightarrow	70%	Recycling	1	90%		\leftrightarrow	75%
lra m	Cleanliness	\leftrightarrow	75%	Yard waste pick-up	1	90%	Made home more energy efficient	\leftrightarrow	72%
Natural				Drinking water	\leftrightarrow	70%	3,		
2 2				Open space	Į.	47%			
ш				Natural areas preservation	1	44%			
ut	New development in Conshohocken	\leftrightarrow	62%	Sewer services	↔			\leftrightarrow	77%
Ĭ I	Affordable quality housing	\leftrightarrow	38%	Storm drainage	\leftrightarrow	71%	Did NOT observe a code violation	\leftrightarrow	46%
5	Housing options	\leftrightarrow	59%	Power utility	\leftrightarrow	87%			
Ξ	Overall built environment	\leftrightarrow	63%	Utility billing	\leftrightarrow	78%			
<u>н</u>	Public places	\leftrightarrow	73%	Land use, planning and zoning	\leftrightarrow	46%			
Built Environment				Code enforcement	\leftrightarrow	42%			
-				Cable television	1				



 $\uparrow\uparrow\quad \text{Much higher} \qquad \uparrow\quad \text{Higher} \qquad \leftrightarrow\quad \text{Similar} \qquad \downarrow\quad \text{Lower} \qquad \downarrow\downarrow\quad \text{Much lower} \qquad ^{\star}\quad \text{Not available}$

The National Citizen Survey™

	Community Characteristics	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Benchmark	Percent positive
	Overall economic health	\leftrightarrow	78%	Economic development	\leftrightarrow	67%	Economy will have positive impact on income	\leftrightarrow	34%
Overall economic health Shopping opportunities Employment opportunities Place to visit Cost of living Vibrant downtown/commercial a Place to work Business and services Fitness opportunities Recreational opportunities Health care Food Mental health care Health and wellness Preventive health services K-12 education Cultural/arts/music activities Religious or spiritual events ar activities Adult education Overall education and enrichments	Shopping opportunities	↓	40%				Purchased goods or services in Conshohocken	\leftrightarrow	94%
	Employment opportunities	†	60%				Work in Conshohocken	↓	23%
ő	Place to visit	\leftrightarrow	74%						
ы	Cost of living	\leftrightarrow	46%						
	Vibrant downtown/commercial area	1	66%						
	Place to work	1	85%						
	Business and services	\leftrightarrow	76%						
	Fitness opportunities	\leftrightarrow	81%	Borough parks	\leftrightarrow	84%	In very good to excellent health	\leftrightarrow	77%
70	·	\leftrightarrow	74%	Recreation centers	\leftrightarrow	70%	Used Conshohocken recreation centers	\leftrightarrow	49%
an		\leftrightarrow	66%	Recreation programs	\leftrightarrow	70%	Visited a Borough park	\leftrightarrow	84%
on		\leftrightarrow	78%	Health services	\leftrightarrow	68%	Ate 5 portions of fruits and vegetables	\leftrightarrow	84%
ecreati	Mental health care	\leftrightarrow	43%				Participated in moderate or vigorous physical activity	\leftrightarrow	89%
ĕ	Health and wellness	\leftrightarrow	73%						
	Preventive health services	\leftrightarrow	61%						
	K-12 education	\leftrightarrow	79%	Public libraries	\leftrightarrow	83%	Used Conshohocken public libraries	11	43%
and	Cultural/arts/music activities	↓ ↓	44%	Special events	\leftrightarrow	69%	Participated in religious or spiritual activities	↔	39%
me me	Child care/preschool	\leftrightarrow	51%				Attended a Borough-sponsored event	\leftrightarrow	60%
ducati	Religious or spiritual events and	\leftrightarrow	73%				J I		
ᆸ _	Adult education	Ţ	44%						
	Overall education and enrichment	\leftrightarrow	55%						
	Opportunities to participate in community matters	\leftrightarrow	55%	Public information	\leftrightarrow	66%	Sense of community	\leftrightarrow	72%
		\leftrightarrow	56%	Overall direction	\leftrightarrow	72%	Voted in local elections	\leftrightarrow	76%
		\leftrightarrow	70%	Value of services for taxes paid	\leftrightarrow	67%	Talked to or visited with neighbors	\leftrightarrow	89%
eu	Social events and activities	\leftrightarrow	65%	Welcoming citizen involvement	\leftrightarrow	54%	Attended a local public meeting	\leftrightarrow	19%
lagem		\leftrightarrow	67%	Confidence in Borough government	\leftrightarrow	60%	Watched a local public meeting	1	12%
ty Eng				Acting in the best interest of Conshohocken	\leftrightarrow	60%	Volunteered	1	21%
Ē				Being honest	\leftrightarrow	62%	Participated in a club	\leftrightarrow	18%
ommı				Treating all residents fairly	\leftrightarrow	67%	Campaigned for an issue, cause or candidate	\leftrightarrow	24%
S							Contacted Conshohocken elected officials	↔ ⊕	24%
							Read or watched local news	\leftrightarrow	86%
							Done a favor for a neighbor	\leftrightarrow	76%



 $\uparrow\uparrow\quad \text{Much higher} \qquad \uparrow\quad \text{Higher} \qquad \leftrightarrow\quad \text{Similar} \qquad \downarrow\quad \text{Lower} \qquad \downarrow\downarrow\quad \text{Much lower} \qquad ^*\quad \text{Not available}$